Contracts II Syllabus
(subject to change)

Spring 2022
	Prof. Drennan
Tuesday & Thursday, 1:30 to 2:45
	wdrennan@siu.edu
Room 202
	618-453-8729 (office)
Law 512-3 Section 1
	314-943-4683 (cell)
	Office: Room 220

Office Hours: Tuesdays from 12:15 to 1 pm, and Thursdays from 2:45 to 3:30 pm, and by appointment; may be by phone or zoom.

Required Materials: SAME AS CONTRACTS I

Writing Assignments: We will have one or more writing assignments during the semester. One writing assignment will be designated as our writing-across-the-curriculum and bar-assessment assignment. Satisfactory completion of the writing-across-the-curriculum assignment is required to be eligible to take the final exam and complete the course.

Attendance Policy: Attendance will be taken at the beginning of class. Under the Rules of the School of Law, a first year student may not accumulate absences in a course numbering in excess of twice the credit hours for the course during the semester. Thus, because we meet twice a week, the maximum number of absences in this course is four (4) classes. The Rules provide for three options if a student accumulates absences in excess of the allowable number. The professor may recommend that: (a) the student be given the lowest possible grade; (b) the student be given a “W”; or (c) the student be allowed to continue in the course with a grade reduction.

Midterm Exam, Final Exam & Grading: There will be a midterm exam that will constitute 10% of the grade for the course. Also, there will be a final exam (at the end of the semester) constituting 90% of the grade for the course. The Law School final exam period is from Tuesday April 26 to Friday May 6. The day and time for this exam had not been set at the time this Syllabus was prepared.

Course Description: This course continues the study of contract law from Contracts I. After studying certain implied terms (during the first week), we will study various situations in which courts refuse to enforce agreements that satisfy the basic elements of contracts we studied in Contracts I. Courts may refuse to enforce an otherwise valid agreement between the parties because of the incapacity of a party (for example because the party is a minor or lacks the requisite mental capacity), or because of
duress or undue influence, the unconscionability of the bargain, or because the deal violates public policy. Also, there can be situations when a court will permit a party to fail to perform the duties it agreed to in a contract, for example because of mistake, changed circumstances, or contractual modifications. We also will study the rights and duties of third parties, consequences of nonperformance, anticipatory repudiation, damages, and the duty of good faith in contract performance and enforcement.

**EMERGENCY PROCEDURES:** We ask that you become familiar with Emergency Preparedness at SIU. Emergency response information is available on posters in buildings on campus, on the Emergency Preparedness at SIU website, and though text and email alerts. To register for alerts, visit: [http://emergency.siu.edu/](http://emergency.siu.edu/).

**DISABILITY SUPPORT SERVICES.** SIU Carbondale is committed to providing an inclusive and accessible experience for all students with disabilities. Disability Support Services coordinates the implementation of accommodations. If you think you may be eligible for accommodations but have not yet obtained approval, please contact DSS immediately at 618-453-5738 or disabilityservices.siu.edu. You may request accommodations at any time, but timely requests help to insure accommodations are in place when needed. Accommodations and services are determined through an interactive process with students and may involve consideration of specific course design and learning objectives in consultation with faculty. Upon completion of a Disability Accommodation Agreement with DSS, students should bring the agreements for each course to the School of Law Registrar’s Office to ensure the School of Law provides the proper classroom and examination accommodations. Accommodation request and renewal forms can be found here: [https://law.siu.edu/academics/](https://law.siu.edu/academics/).

**SALUKI CARES.** The purpose of Saluki Cares is to develop, facilitate and coordinate a university-wide program of care and support for students in any type of distress—physical, emotional, financial, or personal. By working closely with faculty, staff, students and their families, SIU will continue to display a culture of care and demonstrate to our students and their families that they are an important part of the community. For Information on Saluki Cares: (618) 453-2461, or siucares@siu.edu, [http://salukicares.siu.edu/index.html](http://salukicares.siu.edu/index.html). At the School of Law, Assistant Dean Judi Ray is also available to help students access university resources. Her email is judiray@siu.edu, and her phone number is 618-453-3135.

**COVID-19.** SIU’s policy on face masks and social distancing is consistent with the guidance from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Illinois Department of Public Health. For up-to-date information, students, faculty, and staff should visit SIUC’s COVID website (siu.edu/coronavirus), which includes the Saluki Safety Plan. People can also send an email to pandemicinfo@siu.edu

**Recording of Classes:** Students and the professor may record classes from their regular location in the classroom, unless the professor announces in class that this policy has changed. Recordings of the class may not be shared with persons who are not enrolled in this class without the professor’s prior consent. The professor may share recordings with
members of the Law School’s IT department or as otherwise necessary.

**Plagiarism:** Plagiarizing another’s work, in whole or in part, is a violation of the Honor Code and can lead to serious penalties, including expulsion. An Honor Code investigation must also be reported to the Bar Examiners, even if you are cleared of any violation. The Honor Code defines plagiarism as: passing off another’s ideas, words, or work as one’s own, including written, oral, multimedia, or other work, either word for word or in substance, unless the student author credits the original author and identifies the original author’s work with quotation marks, footnotes, or other appropriate designation in such a way as to make clear the true author of the work.

**Workload Expectation:** The American Bar Association standards for accrediting law schools contain a formula for calculating the amount of work that constitutes one credit hour. According to ABA Standard 310(b)(1), “a ‘credit hour’ is an amount of work that reasonably approximates: (1) not less than one hour of classroom or direct faculty instruction and two hours of out-of-class student work per week for fifteen weeks, or the equivalent amount of work over a different amount of time.” This is a 3-credit hour class, meaning that we will spend two 75-minute blocks of time together each week (a 75-minute block each Tuesday and Thursday). ABA Interpretation 310-1 states that 50 minutes satisfies for an hour of classroom instruction, but an “hour” for out-of-class work is 60 minutes. The amount of assigned reading and out of class preparation should take you a minimum of 3 hours for each class session and 6 hours for the week. All told, applying the ABA standard to the number of credits offered for this class, you should plan on spending a minimum of 9 hours per week (3 in class and 6 preparing for class) on course-related work.

**Student Learning Outcomes:** At the end of this course, students will be able to:
- Determine whether a seller has made express or implied warranties in a transaction.
- Analyze the probable results when a minor, or a person who lacks mental capacity, or has questionable capacity because of drugs or alcohol, signs a contract.
- Explain when an otherwise valid contract will be unenforceable because of (i) economic duress, (ii) undue influence, (iii) misrepresentation or nondisclosure, (iv) unconscionability, (v) mistake, or (vi) public policy.
- Argue persuasively whether a party’s failure to perform a contract will be legally excused because of impossibility, impracticability, or frustration of purpose.
- Advice a client regarding the client’s obligations under a contract when the other party has breached the contract or indicated that it will breach the contract.
- Analyze the rights and responsibilities that third parties might have under a contract.
- Quantify the monetary damages recoverable in a breach of contract lawsuit and identify the other remedies that might be available.
- Describe when the implied obligations of good faith and fair dealing might apply and the potential consequences.
Contracts II – Spring 2022
Projected Reading Schedule as of 1/6/22 (subject to change)
All page references are to the Knapp Casebook (9th ed. 2019) unless otherwise indicated. The Supplemental Reading Material Parts will be posted on the TWEN page for the course.


Class #3: Tuesday, January 18, 2022: Avoiding Contract Enforcement–minors and their capacity to contract; Supplemental Reading Materials Part 1 pages 15 to 23 (including Halbman v. Lemke, 298 N.W.2d 562 (Wis. 1980) and Webster Street Partnership, Ltd. v. Sheridan, 368 N.W.2d 439 (Neb. 1985) both regarding the majority rules for minors); pages 571-578 middle (including Dodson v. Shrader on non-majority approaches for minors)

Class #4: Thursday, January 20, 2022: Avoiding Contract Enforcement cont.–mental incapacity; 579-591 top (including Sparrow); Supplemental Reading Materials Part 1 pages 23 to 27 regarding incapacity due to drugs or alcohol – Gonzalez v. Jurella, 2015 WL 9943596; begin discussion of duress 591 to 601 middle (including Totem Marine)


Class #6: Thursday, January 27, 2022: Avoiding Contract Enforcement—continued discussion of misrepresentation and then covering nondisclosure: pages 611 bottom to 632 middle (including Syester v. Banta and Hill v. Jones); briefly discuss haunted house stories in Supplemental Reading Materials Part 1, pages 43 to 48.

Class #7: Tuesday, Feb. 1, 2022: Lawyers Professional Ethics, 632-638 middle (including Park 100); Avoiding Contract Enforcement-Unconscionability; basic principles, 638 bottom-650 middle (including Williams v. Walker-Thomas Furniture); Supplemental Reading Materials Part 1, at pages 49 to 54 (including case on unconscionability and price—Ahern v. Knecht (edited version of 563 N.E.2d 787 (Ill. App. 2002)); unconscionability and arbitration agreements, 650 middle to 664 top (including Higgins)
Class #8: Thursday, Feb. 3, 2022: Consumer Protection Legislation 677 top—680 top; Avoiding Contract Enforcement—public policy and covenants not to compete, 680-695 top (including Valley Medical); Supplemental Reading Materials Part 1, pages 54 to 63 (including Jordan v. Knafel, edited version of 823 N.E.2d 1113 (Ill. App. 2005) (this time regarding the “public policy” doctrine and blackmail)

Class #9: Tuesday, Feb. 8, 2022: Justification for Nonperformance—Mutual Mistake, 719-730 middle (including Lenawee County Bd. of Health v. Messerly); Supplemental Reading Materials Part 1, pages 64 to 69 (including case on mistake of fact v. mistake of law—edited version of United States v. Williams, 2014 WL 3537046 (S.D. N.Y. 2014), and additional note on Michael Jordan case as a “mistake” case?)


Class #11: Tuesday, Feb. 15, 2022: Impossibility, Impracticability, and Frustration, 741 bottom to 757 (including Hemlock Semiconductor); Supplemental Reading Materials Part 1, pages 85 to 97 (including Aluminum Company of America v. Essex Group, 499 F. Supp. 33 (Penn Dist. 1980))

Class #12: Thursday, Feb. 17, 2022: Impossibility, Impracticability, and Frustration cont. 757 bottom to 768 middle (including Mel Frank Tool & Supply); Supplemental Reading Materials Part 1, pages 98 to 111 (including – River Phoenix Estate, Wasserman Theatrical Enterprise, Board v. Elaine Lukaszewski)


Class #15: Midterm exam week is Feb. 28 to March 4—at the time this document was prepared, neither the day nor the time of the day of the Contracts midterm exam had been announced.

[Note: SPRING BREAK—March 5 to March 13]

Class #16: [A RECORDED MAKE-UP CLASS WILL BE POSTED ON THE COURSE TWEN PAGE WHEN ANNOUNCED BY THE PROF] Rights and Duties of Third Parties 1093-1114 top (including Vogan and Chen cases).
Class #17: Tuesday, March 15, 2022: Consequences of Nonperformance—Express Conditions, 803 to 818 (including enXco Development)

Class #18: Thursday, March 17, 2022: Express Conditions continued, 818 bottom to 827 (including J.N.A. Realty); Supplemental Reading Materials Part 2, pages 1 to 10 (including a case on waivers – Savre Auto Repair v. Santoyo, 865 N.W.2d 419 (N.D. 2015))

Class #19: Tuesday, March 22, 2022: Material Breach 829 top; pages 841 bottom to 849 middle (including Sackett v. Spindler); Supplemental Reading Materials Part 2, pages 11 to 22 (including modified version of Jacob & Youngs and Health Related Services, Inc. v. Golden Plains Convalescent Center, Inc., 806 S.W.2d 102 (Mo. Ct. App. 1991))

Class #20: Thursday, March 24, 2022: Anticipatory Repudiation, 849 middle to 867 middle (including Truman L. Flatt & Sons Co and Hornell Brewing Co v. Spry)

Class #21: Tuesday, March 29, 2022: Expectation Damages, 873-895 top (including Crabby’s Inc. and Lukaszewski)

Class #22: Thursday, March 31, 2022: Expectation Damages cont. 895 top to 901 (including American Standard v. Schectman); Restriction on the Recovery of Expectation Damages-Foreseeability, 902 to 921 (including Hadley v. Baxendale and Florafax)

Class #23: Tuesday, April 5, 2022: Restriction on the Recovery of Expectation Damages-Mitigation 921 bottom to 941 middle (including Rockingham County v. Luten Bridge and Maness v. Collins); Supplemental Reading Materials Part 2, at pages 23 to 30 (including Chicago Coliseum Club v. Dempsey, 265 Ill. App. 542 (1932))

Class #24: Thursday, April 7, 2022: Nonrecoverable Damages: Attorney Fees, Mental or Emotional Distress, and Punitive Damages, 948 middle to 957 bottom (including Zapata); Supplemental Reading Materials Part 2, at pages 31 to [page # will be added] (including edited and re-organized version of Erlich v. Menezes from the version in the casebook); Casebook pages 969 to 971 middle (notes #4 through #6, and the “Comment” on punitive damages after the Casebook’s version of Erlich v. Menezes)

Class #25: Tuesday, April 12, 2022: Agreed Remedies 1069 middle to 1086 top (including Barrie School); Supplemental Reading Materials Part 3, at pages 1 to 8 (including Vanderbilt Univ. v. Dinardo, 174 F.3d 751 (6th Cir. 1999))

Class #26: Thursday, April 14, 2022: Specific Performance 1045 middle to 1059 middle (including City Stores); Supplemental Reading Materials Part 3, at pages 9 to 20 (including Channing v. Penn State and Dallas Cowboys Football Club v. James B. Harris; Quizzing -- End of Semester Challenge?

Class #27: Tuesday, April 19, 2022: Supplemental Reading Materials Part 3, pages 20 to 28 (including Perfect Tender Rule & Right to Cure, plus Moulton Cavity & Mold Inc., 396 A.2d 1024 (Me. 1979)) Quizzing—End of Semester Challenge?
Class #28: Thursday, April 21, 2022: Implied Obligation of Good Faith 492 & 493, 508-523 (including Morin Building and Locke v. Warner Bros)

Final Exam: The date and time of the Contracts II final exam had not yet been announced when this document was prepared.
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